CURRENT PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENTS FOR SARS-COV-2: A NARRATIVE REVIEW

ABSTRACT
The novel coronavirus, later identified as SARS-CoV-2, originating from Wuhan in China in November 2019, quickly spread around the world becoming a pandemic. Despite the knowledge of previous coronaviruses, such as those responsible for the SARS and MERS-CoV epidemic, there is no drug or prophylaxis treatment to this day. The rapid succession of scientific findings on SARS-CoV-2 provides a significant number of potential drug targets. Nevertheless, at the same time, the high quantity of clinical data, generated by a large number of rapidly infected people, require accurate tests regarding effective medical treatments. Several in vitro and in vivo studies were rapidly initiated after the outbreak of the pandemic COVID-19. Initial clinical studies revealed the promising potential of remdesivir that demonstrated a powerful and specific in vitro antiviral activity for COVID-19. Promising effects appear to be attributable to hydroxychloroquine. Remdesivir and hydroxychloroquine are being tested in ongoing randomized trials. In contrast, oseltamivir was not effective and corticosteroids are not currently recommended. However, few data from ongoing clinical trials are identifying low molecular weight heparins, innate immune system stimulating agents, and inflammatory modulating agents as potential effective agents.

The authors assume that the current pandemic will determine the need for a systematic approach based on big data analysis for identifying effective drugs to defeat SARS-CoV-2. This work is aimed to be a general reference point and to provide an overview as comprehensive as possible regarding the main clinical trials in progress at the moment.

1. INTRODUCTION
On December 31, 2019, the Wuhan Municipal Health Commission (China) reported to the World Health Organization a cluster of pneumonia cases of unknown etiology in the city of Wuhan, in the Chinese province of Hubei. On January 9, 2020, the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention reported that a new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) has been identified as the causative agent of respiratory disease, later called COVID-19 (CoronaVirusDisease-19).

As of April 18, 2020, there have been more than 2.2 million recorded cases and over 80,000 deaths in over 22 countries.

COVID-19 syndrome is similar to Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) and Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-CoV). This similarity was confirmed by the rapid viral genetic makeup sequencing that evidenced the proximity among the pathogens.

The availability of the entire genomic sequence of the virus on one hand and isolated virus specimens (made available by the researchers who obtained them) may be useful to quickly refine the knowledge on the peculiar features of this new coronavirus. Above all, this information could help us significantly to develop reliable diagnostic tools and effective therapeutic regimens.

SARS-CoV-2, a single-stranded RNA-wrapped virus penetrates cells by binding to a receptor called Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2).

The viral particle uses host cell receptors and endosomes to penetrate cells. A serine protease, TMPRSS2, facilitates entry into cells.

Once inside the cell, the viral polyproteins that encode the replicase-transcriptase complex are synthesized. The virus then synthesizes RNA through its RNA-dependent RNA polymerase.

These stages of the viral life cycle provide potential targets for drug therapy (Fig. 1). World Health Organization (WHO) states that there are currently no specific drugs against SARS-CoV-2.
Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the life cycle of SARS-CoV-2. The main targets of some of the drug therapies described are also indicated.

To date, there is no evidence supported by randomized clinical trials (RCTs) that demonstrate the efficacy of drugs for the treatment of suspected or confirmed patients with COVID-19.

Besides, there are no clinical trials supporting prophylaxis therapy. Some drugs that are already in use or are being tested for other diseases are being used for COVID-19. For other drugs, preclinical tests have started giving a possible use. There are over 600 ongoing clinical trials.

This narrative review summarizes the current evidence related to the main treatments proposed for COVID-19 and provides a summary of current clinical experiences for the treatment of this disease.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A literature review was carried out through three databases: PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library, to identify relevant articles written in English and published until April 20, 2020. Search terms included: COVID-19, severe acute respiratory syndrome from SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, in combination with treatment, trial, and pharmacology.

The WHO publications database on COVID-19 has also been evaluated.

The research identified 1400 articles. Due to the lack of concluded Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT), clinical cases, case reports, and review articles have been considered. The authors independently reviewed the titles and abstracts for inclusion. Ongoing and completed clinical trials were identified using the search term COVID-19 infection on ClinicalTrials.gov.

2.1. General clinical characteristics of SARS-CoV-2

The disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 called COVID-19 has a rather broad symptomatology, usually similar to SARS, MERS and a standard flu: fever (83–98%), cough (59–82%), shortness of breath (19–55%), and muscle ache (11–44%).
These symptoms are often associated with sore throat, tiredness and general weakness, headache, confusion, etc.

Some studies have highlighted different symptoms, such as hemoptysis, lower levels of white blood cells, thrombocytopenia, increased levels of C-reactive protein, etc.

The clinical course of the disease may also differ in severity and progression. Already ill, weak and/or elderly patients can experience a rapid course of the disease, up to death.

Patients with hypoxemia can quickly degenerate into acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), severe sepsis and even multiple organ collapse within 7 days.

2.2. Analysis of ongoing clinical trials

Currently, there is no sufficient evidence that any existing antiviral drugs can effectively treat SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia. However, there are several ongoing clinical trials of potential anti-COVID-19 therapies.

The search terms COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2 inserted on Clinicaltrials.gov web site produced 692 active studies with 516 specific studies for COVID-19 as of April 18, 2020. Of these 516 studies, approximately 220 (including ongoing and completed ones) provided possible alternative drug therapies for COVID-19 in adult patients.

Among these 220 investigations, 120 are interim studies, with 36 placebo-controlled studies. Other researches concern Phase-4 with 18, Phase-3 with 46, Phase-2 with 54, and Phase-1 with 18 studies.

For 84 studies, it was not possible to define the exact phase of the trials. Therapies were divided into two categories according to their goal.

The first category includes drugs that act directly against the coronavirus, both by inhibiting viral proliferation and by blocking viral entry into human cells. The second category of drugs involves the modulation of the human immune system, both by increasing the innate response and by inhibiting the inflammatory processes that cause lung injury.

Fig. 1 shows the main mechanisms of action of the treatments subject to this narrative review.

Table 1 summarizes the current pharmacological treatments for SARS-COV-2 identified in the present work through the Clinicaltrials.gov website.

Table 1. Summary of current pharmacological treatments for SARS-COV-2 identified through Clinicaltrials.gov website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRUGS ACTING DIRECTLY AGAINST THE CORONAVIRUS</th>
<th>AGENT</th>
<th>PHARMACOLOGICAL TARGET/ACTION</th>
<th>ClinicalTrials.gov IDENTIFIER</th>
<th>ADULT ADMINISTRATION</th>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>TYPE OF TRIAL/ESTIMATED STUDY COMPLETION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REMDESIVIR</td>
<td>RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase inhibitor</td>
<td>NCT04292899</td>
<td>A loading dose of 200 mg, followed by daily maintenance doses of 100 mg, for 5–10 days.</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19 (also mechanically ventilated)</td>
<td>Phase 3 Randomized Study/May 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04292730</td>
<td>A loading dose of 200 mg, followed by daily maintenance doses of 100 mg, for 5–10 days.</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19 (also mechanically ventilated)</td>
<td>Phase 3 Randomized Study/May 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04257656</td>
<td>A loading dose of 200 mg, followed by</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19 (also mechanically ventilated)</td>
<td>Phase 3 Randomized, Double-blind,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study ID</td>
<td>Treatment Details</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04280705</td>
<td>A loading dose of 200 mg, followed by daily maintenance doses of 100 mg, for 9 days.</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19 (also mechanically ventilated)</td>
<td>Placebo-controlled, Multicenter Study/Complete d on March 30, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04292899</td>
<td>A loading dose of 200 mg, followed by daily maintenance doses of 100 mg, for 5–10 days.</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19 (also mechanically ventilated)</td>
<td>Multicenter, Adaptive, Randomized Blinded Controlled Trial/April 2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04292730</td>
<td>A loading dose of 200 mg, followed by daily maintenance doses of 100 mg, for 5–10 days.</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19 (also mechanically ventilated)</td>
<td>Phase 3 Randomized Study/May 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FAVIPIN**

**AVIR**

**RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase inhibitor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Treatment Details</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT04359615</td>
<td>Under Investigation</td>
<td>Adult confirmed COVID-19 patients.</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blind, controlled, clinical trial/May 3, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04346628</td>
<td>Favipiravir administered orally, 1800 mg on the first dose (day 1) followed by 800 mg twice daily for the next 9 days (days 2–10).</td>
<td>Patients with laboratory confirmation of infection with SARS-CoV-2 and who are PCR positive (within five days or fewer prior to enrollment) and asymptomatic with breath difficulties</td>
<td>A Phase-2 Randomized, Open Label Study/April 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04349241</td>
<td>A loading dose of 2400–3000 mg every 12 h twice, followed by</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe</td>
<td>Interventional Phase-3 Study/October 1, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Name</td>
<td>Mechanism Description</td>
<td>NCT Number</td>
<td>Study Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOPINA VIR/ RITONA VIR</td>
<td>Coronavirus protease 3CL1 inhibitors</td>
<td>NCT04252885</td>
<td>Group A) ordinary treatment plus a lopinavir (200 mg) and ritonavir (50 mg) (oral, q12h, every time 2 tablets of each, taking for 7–14 days). Group B) ordinary treatment plus a regimen of arbidol (100 mg) (oral, 200 mg each time, taking for 7–14 days). Adults in sputum, throat swab, lower respiratory tract secretion, blood and other samples, the nucleic acid of the novel coronavirus was positive, or the sequencing of the virus gene was highly homologous with the known novel coronavirus. A Randomized, Open-label, Phase-4 Controlled Study/May 30, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVERMECTIN</td>
<td>Dissociation of the preformed IMPα/β1 heterodimer</td>
<td>NCT04343092</td>
<td>Ivermectin 12 mg/weekly) + Hydroxychloroquine 400mg/daily + azithromycin 500 mg daily Patients with confirmed COVID-19. Interventional Phase-1 study/August 1, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHLOROQUINE and HYDROXY-CHLOROQUINE</td>
<td>Increase of endosomal pH</td>
<td>NCT04303507</td>
<td>A loading dose of 10 mg base/kg (four 155 mg tablets for a 60 kg subject), followed by 155 mg daily (250 mg chloroquine phosphate salt/200 mg hydroxychloroquine sulfate) for 90 days. Adult workers in a healthcare facility delivering direct care to patients with either proven or suspected COVID-19. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial/April 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NCT04308668</td>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine: 200 mg tablet; 800 mg orally once, followed in 6 to 8 h by 600 mg, then 600 mg once a day for 4 consecutive days. Adults with confirmed COVID-19 or contact with COVID-19 positive subjects within 4 days. Interventional Phase-3 Randomized trial/April 21, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Clinical Trials</td>
<td>Eligibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECOMBI NANT HUMAN ANGIOTENSIN-CONVERTING ENZYME 2 (APN01)</td>
<td>Blocker of protein S</td>
<td>NCT04287 686</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMIFENO VIR</td>
<td>Inhibitor of membrane fusion between the viral capsid and the cell membrane of the target cell</td>
<td>NCT04260 594</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19 without need of ventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMIFENO VIR</td>
<td>Conventional treatment plus NK cells. Participants will receive conventional treatment plus twice a week of NK cells (0.1–2*10E7 NK cells/kg body weight).</td>
<td>NCT04280 224</td>
<td>Adults with confirmed COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURAL KILLERS CELLS</td>
<td>Enhance innate immune system responses, in particular Natural Killers Cells</td>
<td>NCT04280 224</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECOMBINANT TYPE 1 INFERNFERON</td>
<td>Broad spectrum antiviral activity</td>
<td>NCT04293 887</td>
<td>Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRUGS MODULATING THE HUMAN IMMUNE SYSTEM</td>
<td>TOCILIZUMAB + Favipinavir: Anti-IL-6 anti-inflammatories + RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase inhibitor</td>
<td>NCT04310 228</td>
<td>COVID-19 positive patients with increased levels of IL-6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SARILUMAB:</strong> Anti-IL-6 anti-inflammatories</td>
<td>NCT04315 298</td>
<td>Single intravenous (IV) dose of sarilumab. Two doses (high an low) under investigation.</td>
<td>Hospitalized severe COVID-19 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BEVACIZUMAB:</strong> inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A)</td>
<td>NCT04275 414</td>
<td>Under ECG monitoring, give bevacizumab 500 mg + 0.9% sodium chloride solution 100 ml via intravenous drip, time is no less than 90min.</td>
<td>Severe/Critical COVID-19 patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINGOLIMOD:</strong> internalizer of S1P receptors, which sequesters lymphocytes in lymph nodes</td>
<td>NCT04280 588</td>
<td>Each patient in the fingolimod treatment group was given 0.5 mg of fingolimod orally once daily, for three consecutive days</td>
<td>Severe COVID-19 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECULIZUMAB:</strong> Distal complement inhibitor</td>
<td>NCT04288 713</td>
<td>Eculizumab 900 mg IV every 7 days. Eculizumab is given IV over 30 min without the need of a pump (although one can be used if available).</td>
<td>COVID-19 positive patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THALIDOMIDE</strong></td>
<td>Inhibitor of TNF-alpha synthesis</td>
<td>NCT04273 529</td>
<td>100 mg,po,qn, for 14 days. Adults with mild/severe COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NCT04273 581</td>
<td>E100 mg/d,qn, for 14 days. Adults with mild/severe COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CORTICOSTEROID</strong></td>
<td>Inhibitory action against the production of T cells (T lymphocytes), and the release of pro-inflammatory interleukins, such as IL-2, IL-1, IL-6 and IL-12, but also TNF-alpha, the INF-gamma.</td>
<td>NCT04263 402</td>
<td>2 Groups. 1) Methylprednisolone ( &lt; 40 mg/d) 2) Methylprednisolone (40–80 mg/d) Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOW-MOLECULAR WEIGHT HEPARINS</strong></td>
<td>Antithrombotic activity, with an increase in the ratio between anti-Xa and anti-IIa activity</td>
<td>NCT04345 848</td>
<td>Therapeutic doses of subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin (enoxaparin) or intravenous unfractionated heparin, from admission until the end of hospital stay or clinical recovery. Adults with moderate/severe COVID-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. RESULTS

3.1. RNA polymerase- RNA dependent inhibitors

3.1.1. Remdesivir

Remdesivir (GS-5734) was discovered and synthesized in 2017 by Siegel et al. to counter Ebola and other emerging viruses.

Later on, there were different pre-clinical and randomized clinical studies that have respectively analyzed its mechanisms of action and its efficacy against these microorganisms. It has broad-spectrum antiviral activity against RNA viruses. It is a prodrug, the structure of which resembles adenosine.

Therefore, it can incorporate into the nascent viral RNA and inhibit the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, stopping the replication of the viral genome.

Remdesivir has previously been shown to exhibit antiviral activity against several coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, in vitro and in vivo. In a recent in vitro study, remdesivir has also been shown to inhibit SARS-CoV-2.

The current dose under consideration is a single 200 mg, followed by a 100 mg daily infusion, for a period of time of 5–10 days. Different trials are underway to evaluate the use of remdesivir against coronavirus (Table 1).

The most common severe adverse effect of remdesivir is a reversible increase in transaminases, with possible kidney damage.

The AIFA (Italian Medicines Agency) is sponsoring two randomized, open-label, multicenter phase 3 studies, which will shed light on the effectiveness of remdesivir as an antiviral agent compared to supportive care.

Preliminary results from some ongoing studies randomized trials suggest to include this agent for the treatment of COVID-19. Because of this, the FDA recently approved the emergency use of remdesivir for the treatment of COVID-19.

3.1.2. Favipiravir

Favipiravir or 6-fluoro-3-hydroxy-2-pyrazinecarboxamide (T-705) was developed in 2002 and was approved for medical use in Japan in 2014.

It has been found to have strong and selective inhibitory activity against Influenza viruses. Besides, Favipiravir is also considered as a novel viral RNA polymerase inhibitor.

In 2018, Favipiravir was also studied as a potential countermeasure against neglected and emerging RNA viruses.

Therefore, similar to remdesivir, favipiravir works as an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase inhibitor structurally resembling endogenous guanine.

Competitive inhibition may reduce viral replication. Although most of the information on this antiviral comes from its activity against Ebola and the H1N2 virus, favipiravir also demonstrated extensive activity against other RNA viruses.

For the treatment of COVID-19, doses at the upper limit of the average dosage range should be considered. Appropriate doses of favipiravir against coronavirus are still under investigation. Some trials are testing protocols with attack doses of 1800–2400 mg, followed by maintenance doses ranging from 300 mg to 1800 mg (Table 1).
Favipiravir is generally well tolerated. However, knowledge about safety in higher dose regimens is limited. Favipiravir can cause hyperuricaemia, increase in transaminases, decrease in the number of neutrophils, diarrhea.

Currently, there is no sufficient information available to support the use of favipiravir for COVID-19. One study compared favipiravir with Umifenovir. After seven days of therapy, a significant difference was found between patients treated with umifenovir or favipiravir, with better results returned by the latter.

These data suggest that further clinical trials on the efficacy of favipiravir for the treatment of COVID-19 are required. Despite little scientific evidence and the limited number of clinical trials available, in March 2020, favipiravir was approved by the National Medical Products Administration of China as the first anti-COVID-19 drug in China.

3.2. Viral protease inhibitors

3.2.1. Lopinavir/ritonavir

Lopinavir and ritonavir are used in combination as therapeutic drugs for HIV.

Although coronaviruses encode a different enzyme class of protease (cysteine protease), there is theoretical evidence that lopinavir and ritonavir can also inhibit the coronaviral protease 3CL1.

The Lopinavir/ritonavir combination is being investigated in a clinical study against COVID-19 in patients with moderate and severe COVID-19 (Table 1). However, it has shown only little benefit.

In another study in patients with severe COVID-19 (ChiCTR2000029308), no benefits of lopinavir/ritonavir were observed compared to standard care.

Further information on the use of lopinavir/ritonavir for the treatment of COVID-19 mainly comes from clinical cases and retrospective, non-randomized, and small studies, which make it difficult to ascertain the effect of the treatment.

The current lopinavir/ritonavir dose protocol under investigation consists of doses of lopinavir (200 mg) and ritonavir (50 mg) every 12 h for 7–14 days.

Although further clinical trials are underway on lopinavir/ritonavir, current data do not support lopinavir/ritonavir in COVID-19 treatment. This because of significant drug on drug interactions and their potential adverse reactions. According to a recent RCT, about 50% of patients treated with lopinavir/ritonavir experienced at least one adverse effect and 14% of patients had to interrupt the therapy.

The main adverse effects of lopinavir/ritonavir include gastrointestinal disorders (up to 30%) and hepatotoxicity (between 2% and 10%). More serious adverse effects are represented by hepatotoxicity, pancreatitis, abnormalities in cardiac conduction.

3.2.2. Ivermectin

Ivermectin has been studied since 1946 against avid diphtheria. It was considered as an enigmatic multifaceted ‘wonder’ drug in 2017.

More recently it has also been used (as already approved by the FDA) as an anti-parasitic against scabies and equally against HIV, Zika, Dengue, West Nile, and Influenza viruses.

Its mechanism of action involves the dissociation of the preformed IMPα/β1 heterodimer, responsible for the nuclear transport of loads of viral proteins.

Since nuclear transport of viral proteins is essential for the replication cycle and inhibition of the host's antiviral response, focusing on the nuclear transport process can be a viable therapeutic approach against RNA viruses.

Recently, an in vivo study has shown Ivermectin’s ability to reduce viral RNA up to 5000 times after 48 h of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

It is currently under study in COVID-19 positive patients, with a dosage of 12 mg per week, together with hydroxychloroquine (400 mg/day + azithromycin 500 mg/day) (Table 1).

With a known safety profile for pesticide use, further studies will be needed to define and to establish the appropriate dosage of Ivermectin in the treatment of COVID-19.
3.3. Drugs inhibiting the fusion between cell membrane and virus

3.3.1. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine

As known as antimalarial and anti-autoimmune agents, hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine can also block virus infection by increasing the endosomal pH, necessary to the fusion of the membrane between the virus and the host cell.

Recently, in vitro tests revealed the ability to reduce the number of viral copies of SARS-CoV-2.

A news briefing from China reported that chloroquine has been successfully used to treat over 100 COVID-19 cases. The results demonstrated increased viral clearance and reduced disease progression. However, the final data have not yet been published, preventing the validation of these statements.

According to some authors, adding azithromycin to hydroxychloroquine in 6 patients resulted in numerically higher viral clearance (6/6, 100%) compared to hydroxychloroquine monotherapy (8/14, 57%).

Another prospective randomized trial of 30 patients performed in China with 400 mg daily for 5 days in combination with standard therapies (supportive therapy, interferon, and other antivirals) did not reveal any difference in virological outcomes.

The dosage of chloroquine for the treatment of oral SARS-CoV-2 is 500 mg twice a day.

Different dosages are also being studied, as a loading dose of 10 mg base/kg (four 155 mg tablets for a 60 kg subject), followed by 155 mg daily (250 mg chloroquine phosphate salt/200 mg hydroxychloroquine sulfate) for 90 days.

The lack of scientific evidence and RCT results do not allow the identification of an optimal dose to guarantee the safety and efficacy of chloroquine. Further results from ongoing studies will be needed to outline the optimal dose.

However, data related to these molecules are quite controversial – Lancet recently published a peer-reviewed paper on hydroxychloroquine – an observational study stated that the risk of cardiac arrhythmias outweighed its beneficial use.

The same paper was retracted a few days later after a number of criticisms by more than 120 researchers. Therefore, the last conclusive results are still to be demonstrated.

Chloroquine phosphate is contraindicated in case of hypersensitivity to the active principle. From a toxicological point of view, it may cause cardiovascular effects (such as prolongation of the QTc tract), hemolysis and other hematological effects, hypoglycaemia and central nervous system disorders.

The characteristic cardiovascular toxicity of chloroquine phosphate makes it not suitable for cardiopathic patients.

Hydroxychloroquine is contraindicated in case of hypersensitivity to the active principle. The drug can cause idiosyncratic reactions and, secondarily, cardiotoxicity (to a lesser extent than chloroquine).

3.3.2. Recombinant human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (APN01)

It is thought that the recombinant human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (rhACE2) may prevent the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the host cell, by blocking the protein S responsible for the interaction between the virus and cellular ACE2.

In a recent study, rhACE2 was reported to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication in cell and embryonic stem cell-derived organoids by a factor of 1000–5000 times.

The administration of rhACE2 may reduce the serum level of Angiotensin II, depriving the ACE enzyme of its substrate. This mechanism could prevent further activation of the ACE2 angiotensin receptor and thus preserve pulmonary vascular integrity and prevent ARDS.

rhACE2 has already undergone a phase II test for ARDS. A small pilot study in China is now evaluating the biological and physiological role of rhACE2 in COVID-19 pneumonia, primarily as a treatment for ARDS (Table 1).

The administration of recombinant human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is currently under investigation in a randomized, open Label, controlled clinical study.
3.3.3. Arbidol Hydrochloride (umifenovir)

Arbidol Hydrochloride is an antiviral agent that inhibits membrane fusion between the viral capsid and the cell membrane of the target cell.

It is approved by Russia and China against the Influenza virus and arbovirus.

It is currently being tested as a single agent and in comparison with Oseltamivir and the lopinavir/ritonavir combination (Table 1).

Umifenovir is contraindicated in case of hypersensitivity to the active principle. The most common adverse reactions include gastrointestinal disturbances, allergic reactions, increased transaminase levels.

Current scientific evidence does not support the use of Arbidol for COVID-19. In a randomized clinical trial, favipiravir demonstrated supremacy in terms of therapeutic results.

3.4. Innate immune system enhancing agents

3.4.1. Natural Killer cells

The higher mortality rate from COVID-19 has been observed among elderly patients, which can be explained by the weakening of the innate immune system with age. There are numerous approaches to enhance innate immune system responses. Natural Killer (NK) cells are an important component of the innate immune system and ensure a rapid response to viral infections. Previous studies have shown that lung migration of NK cells and macrophages plays a significant role in the clearance of SARS-CoV-2.

A phase 1 study is underway in China. This study is analyzing the response of patients to the combination of ordinary therapy plus NK cells twice a week (Table 1).

The results of this study will help to understand if adding NK cells can help achieve viral clearance in COVID-19 pneumonia, especially in elder and fragile patients.

3.4.2. Recombinant type 1 interferon

Interferons are secreted by virus-infected cells. When used alone or in combination with other drugs, they have a broad-spectrum antiviral effect against HCV, respiratory syncytial virus, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV.

Recombinant type 1 interferon is currently under study in a multi-center, randomized, open, blank-controlled, Phase-1, multi-stage clinical study, that should be completed on May 30, 2020. (NCT04293887).

3.5. Inflammatory response attenuating agents

3.5.1. Anticitokine and immunomodulatory agents (Tocilizumab, sarilumab, bevacizumab, Eculizumab, and Fingolimod)

Another potential therapeutic class for COVID-19 is that of monoclonal antibodies, directed against the main inflammatory cytokines, or other components of the innate immune response.

The reason for their use lies in the pathophysiology of damage to the lungs and other organs caused by COVID-19, which induces an amplified immune response, named “cytokine storm”.

IL-6 seems to be a key factor in this inflammation as evidenced by clinical results from Chinese research. So perhaps, monoclonal antibodies directed towards IL-6 may reverse this process and lead to clinical improvements. Tocilizumab, approved by the FDA for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, has been used on a small number of serious cases of COVID-19 and provided promising results. Following the preliminary data available, the administration of a single 400 mg dose is associated with clinical improvement in 91% of patients, assessed as better respiratory function and rapid reduction of fever. The lack of a control group requires confirmation with more rigorous data that will have to be provided by additional studies.

Tocilizumab is also studied in administration combined with Favipinavir, to analyze a possible synergistic action of the two drugs (Table 1). The results of this study are expected to be available by the end of May/June 2020. Tocilizumab can lead to an increased risk of infections, especially of the upper airways, increased AST transaminases, hypertension, hematological effects, hepatotoxicity, gastrointestinal perforation, hypersensitivity reactions to the active principle.
Sarilumab, another IL-6 receptor antagonist, approved for rheumatoid arthritis, is being tested in an adaptive phase-2/3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in hospitalized severe COVID-19 patients (Table 1).

Among monoclonal antibodies or immunomodulatory agents which were studied in China and approved for large-scale use in the USA we have Fingolimod (immunomodulating agent, used to treat refractory multiple sclerosis; NCT04280588) bevacizumab (anti-vascular endothelial growth factor medication; NCT04275414), and Eculizumab (antibody inhibitor terminal complement; NCT04288713).

3.5.2. Thalidomide

In this spasmodic search for drugs that can guarantee a therapy for SARS-CoV-2, we can mention Thalidomide - an antiangiogenic, anti-inflammatory, and anti-fibrotic agent. Thalidomide is an inhibitor of TNF-alpha synthesis, was used as a treatment for multiple inflammatory diseases, such as Behçets' disease and Crohn's disease.

Preclinical studies showed that thalidomide was effective in the treatment of H1N1-infected mice by reducing the infiltration of inflammatory cells and the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines.

In the wake of this evidence, current studies are focusing on immunomodulatory effects that could reduce lung damage caused by the strong immune response to SARS-CoV-2 (Table 1).

However, it is equally important to underline that thalidomide can cause some adverse effects such as severe birth defects or embryo-fetal death, even with a single dose, if taken during pregnancy.

Besides, this molecule may also induce severe hepatotoxicity.

3.5.3. Corticosteroids

Systemic glucocorticoids are currently contraindicated in SARS-CoV-2 infection, as they can slow viral clearance.

Observational studies showed a delay in viral clearance from the respiratory tract that is associated with some complications including psychosis ed hyperglycemia by using corticosteroid combinations in patients with SARS and MERS.

Furthermore, a meta-analysis of 10 observational studies with 6548 patients with pneumonia, published in 2019, found that corticosteroids were associated with an increased risk of mortality and about two times greater risk of secondary infections.

Whether methylprednisolone administration may or may not help suppress unwanted immune reactions is still a controversial topic. Therefore, studies have been launched to investigate and assess their efficacy and safety, methylprednisolone in particular.

The rationale for the use of corticosteroids lies in their inhibitory action against the production of T cells (T lymphocytes), and the release of pro-inflammatory interleukins, such as IL-2, IL-1, IL-6, and IL-12, but also TNF-alpha, the INF-gamma (Table 1).

Today, the limited data available and the lack of proven benefits with corticosteroids warn against their clinical use in COVID-19 positive patients.

3.5.4. Low molecular weight heparins

Low molecular weight heparins (LMWH) are glycosaminoglycans obtained by the fractionation of heparin. They are used in the prophylaxis of post-surgical venous thromboembolism and non-surgical patients with acute pathology and reduced mobility.

A Chinese retrospective analysis of 415 cases of severe hospitalized COVID-19 patients suggested that in subjects with coagulation activation, administration of unfractionated or LMWH heparin for at least one week could lead to a greater chance of survival. The positive therapeutic effect would be evident only in those patients who show a high level of D-dimer (6 times of the maximum values) or a high score on a scale of sepsis-induced coagulopathy (SIC score > 4).

More hemorrhagic adverse events were observed in heparin-treated patients with normal D-dimer values.
This study presents an important series of limits, but it represents the only cognitive element available we have at present regarding LMWH for COVID-19.

The use of LMWH in COVID-19 patients can be applied only in subjects with 4–6 times higher levels than normal or a SIC score > 4. Since this indication is based on preliminary evidence, this use may be considered after a careful case-by-case evaluation only.

It is also important to remember that this retrospective study indicates that not only would patients who do not show equal levels of clotting activation not benefit from the administration of heparin, but their clinical condition could even get worse.

Low molecular weight heparins are currently under investigation in some interventional randomized phase-3 trials (Table 1), in adults with moderate/severe COVID-19, or COVID-19 hospitalized patients.

The evidence on the therapeutic use of LMWH in COVID-19 patients is incomplete and with important concerns regarding safety. Therefore, randomized studies to evaluate its clinical efficacy and safety will be necessary.

3.5.5. Hyperimmune plasma

The administration of passive polyclonal antibodies (Ab) found in the plasma, has been used in the past to improve the survival rate of patients with acute respiratory syndromes of viral etiology, to provide immediate immunity to the patient.

Zhang et al. have shown that SARS-CoV-2 convalescent plasma contains Ab neutralizing against the virus involved.

Some preliminary studies carried out in China and South Korea, on a sample of 27 patients aged between 28 and 75 years, reported good results after performing the Convalescent Plasma Transfusion (CPT).

The CPT protocols studied in these trials were different, and vary from a minimum of a single dose of 200 ml of convalescent plasma with neutralizing antibody titers> 1: 640, to a maximum of 2400 ml.

All patients in these studies received CPT between day 6 and day 50 after symptom onset or hospitalization.

Despite these encouraging results, these studies showed high bias, due to the limited size of the sample, poor methodological methods for the selection of participants, the dosage of CPT and duration of therapy, etc.

Some clinical trials are in progress on samples of 10–100 patients, treated with plasma infusions from 300 ml to 500 ml, in a time interval of up to 4 h.

Currently, there is not enough scientific evidence available on the effectiveness of the use of autoimmune plasma. Evidence that can be provided by the results of the ongoing trials.

3.6. Vaccines

Thanks to the sequencing of the viral genome of SARS-CoV-2, multiple nucleic acid vaccines are being tested, focusing on the specific sequence that codes for the viral S protein.

The mRNA 1273 vaccine conceived by Moderna consists of a synthetic strand of mRNA that codes for the viral spike protein. The evaluation of its effectiveness is ongoing (NCT04283461).

As for Moderna's 1273 mRNA, INO-4800 produced by Inovio Pharmaceuticals, it is also a genetic vaccine which, inoculated in humans, is translated into proteins that trigger a targeted immune response (NCT04336410).

The simple structure of nucleic acids avoids the risk of incorrect folding, which instead could occur in recombinant protein-based vaccines.

However, the way of administration, the interval between administrations and the quantity supplied in genetic vaccines are key factors, and therefore these will be investigated carefully as they may significantly influence the immunogenicity of this kind of vaccine.

The vaccine developed by Oxford University is composed of a non-replicating adenovirus vector. The fact of not being replicant makes the vaccine safer in children and in people with pre-existing diseases. It is currently undergoing clinical trials (NCT04324606).
Nonavax is developing a vaccine based on nanoparticles that use antigens deriving from S protein. Thanks to the encapsulation, these nanoparticles can be combined with antigenic epitopes causing a lymphocyte proliferation and cytokine production.

The University of Queensland is working on a stabilized subunit vaccine based on molecular clamp technology, which would allow recombinant viral proteins to remain durably in their pre-fusion form. Similar vaccines have been used against Ebola and Influenza.

3.7. Limitations

Some limitations may be attributed to this work. First of all, as per the literature analysis, this was carried out only on 3 search engines: PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library using just certain specific keywords. This method may exclude some papers.

Besides, the importance and the necessity to discover a new system to fight the novel coronavirus means that there are daily news, updates, and publications that cannot be considered for practical reasons in this publication. Some studies in progress at this moment may be completed at the time of publication of this manuscript.

Due to the lack of large-sample randomized clinical trials small sample studies have also been included. However, this work is aimed to be a general reference point and to provide an overview as comprehensive as possible regarding the main clinical trials in progress at the moment.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The COVID-19 pandemic represents the largest global public health crisis of this century. We present an overview of the possible therapeutic options currently under investigation and the future perspectives of this disease. The current clinical studies that were quickly launched at the beginning of the pandemic and were underway in April 2020 are summarized. Most of them are based on the administration of therapeutic agents previously used for other pathological conditions.

These agents can be divided into two broad categories, 1) those that can directly interfere with the virus replication cycle, and 2) those based on immunotherapeutic approaches aimed at modulating immune responses.

Although vaccines and antibodies targeting SARS-CoV-2 are under study, these still require careful evaluation of efficacy and safety. Therefore, to date, drug therapy is the only available approach for a rapid response to the pandemic.

At present, some of them have already shown promising results in preliminary studies and were approved for wider use. However, no effective therapy has been identified yet. Therefore, no standard therapeutic protocol is available.

Since the beginning of the pandemic, some news and social media have disseminated incorrect information about COVID-19 and possible therapies. The Internet is an excellent source of data, but anyone can share and disseminate incorrect information that can endanger people's health. This should be seriously considered by the competent authorities.

It is imperative that all actors involved in the fight against coronavirus follow the findings of scientific research, and not be misled by information without scientific basis.

Some medicines presented some activity against COVID-19. However, there is no reliable evidence on prognosis and safety. In order to find a safe and effective therapeutic protocol or vaccine as soon as possible, international collaboration between authorities and research centers is needed.

Future review of the literature will be effective to shed light on the efficacy of the therapies under study, guiding research towards the development of a safe and effective treatment protocol.
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